

MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 21, 2002

SUBJECT: Stationary Combustion Turbines Emissions Database Version 5

FROM: Jennifer Snyder and Tanya Ali
Alpha-Gamma Technologies, Inc.

TO: Sims Roy EPA OAQPS ESD Combustion Group

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the activities conducted to develop and maintain the Stationary Combustion Turbines Emissions Database Version 5. This database will be used to support rulemaking decisions for the stationary combustion turbines NESHAP and NSPS efforts. The database development and refinements and the format of the database are described in this memorandum.

Database Development and Refinements

The first version of the database, in Access 2.0, was released to the public (via the EPA ICCR TTN site) in June 1997. This release contained reports for hazardous air pollutants (HAP) only. Version 2 of the database was released during January 1998, in both Access 2.0 and Access '97 formats. This version contained more HAP data than in version 1 and also contained criteria pollutant data. Version 3 of the database was released in September 1998 in Access 2.0 and contained additional test reports for HAP and criteria pollutants received from the Gas Technology Institute and a facility in California. Version 4 of the database was released in March 1999 in Access '97. This version did not contain new HAP data, but refinements and clarifications were made to the database. The current version of the database is included with this memorandum (version 5, in Access '97). An additional six test reports (31 tests) for HAP and criteria pollutants have been added since version 4. Test report 243 (four tests) was removed from the database since the unit tested was not a stationary combustion turbine. In addition, it was discovered that test reports 19 and 223 were duplicates. Therefore, test report 223 was removed from the database.

The current version of the database contains 264 tests, representing applications in industrial, pipeline, and utility sectors. The test dates of the source tests in the database range from 1988 to 1999. Some test reports contain HAP or criteria pollutant data only while other test reports contain data on both sets of pollutants. Seventy-nine tests contain HAP data; the number with criteria pollutant data is 222. Many of the tests involved replicate sampling and analysis runs.

A list of references for the test reports included in the database is given in Appendix A. Test reports included in the database were numbered as follows: numbers from 1 to 99 were assigned to tests containing only HAP, and numbers greater than 100 were allocated for tests with only criteria pollutants or with both HAP and criteria pollutants. Exceptions are the reports numbered 10, 15, and 19. These test reports contain both HAP and criteria pollutant test results. They are numbered as HAP-only type reports because criteria pollutant data were identified in these reports after the first version of the database was posted on the TTN. Test reports containing more than one turbine, multiple load conditions, different fuels, control device inlet and outlet samples, or more than three sampling runs were assigned the same initial number followed by an extension (for example, 1.1 or 1.1.1).

The test reports comprising the database include emissions data for turbines firing natural gas, distillate oil, digester gas, landfill gas, refinery gas, and field gas. The turbines tested ranged in size from 0.8 megawatts (MW) to 165 MW. Emissions were measured for various loads, ranging from 25 percent to 100 percent of full load. Some of the tested turbines were equipped with pollution control devices, including water or steam injection, lean pre-mix combustion design, and SCONOx.

Database format

The Stationary Combustion Turbines Emissions Database consists of tables, queries, modules, and reports. Data extracted from the source test reports are entered into tables. Queries are used to collect selected data from the tables and to perform calculations using the data. This is done by calling specific functions within database modules. The result of the calculation is returned to the query. The reports draw on the queries and display raw data fields and calculated values. A brief description of each component of the database structure follows.

Tables

The database contains two master tables, a "Facilities" table and a "Test Data" table. The common field which links the two tables is the ID field which contains the Source Test Identification Number (these numbers correspond to those provided in Appendix A, Source Test References). The "Test Data" table was divided into three tables: "Test Data - HAPs," containing all HAP data in the Test Data table, including the HAP data from reports with both HAP and criteria pollutant data; "Test Data - Criteria Pollutants," containing all criteria pollutant data in the Test Data table, including criteria pollutant data from reports with both HAP and criteria pollutant data, and "Test Data - HAPs + Criteria," containing only the data from tests with data for both HAP and criteria pollutants. A list of data definitions identifying the various data fields in these tables is included as Appendix B. The data presented in the Facility and Test Data tables are "as reported" information. All calculations (corrected concentrations, emission rates, and

emission factors) are performed within the database through the developed queries and modules and are displayed in the reports.

Queries

The queries in the database serve to organize and group data and to call functions to perform calculations on the data. There are two sets of queries. The first set contains the queries “qry_Emissions - Criteria Pollutants”, “qry_Emissions - HAPs”, and “qry_Emissions - HAPs + Criteria.” These queries contain the calls to functions within database modules which calculate the average reported run concentration, the average of the average reported run concentrations, the “corrected” run concentrations, and the calculated emission factors per individual test run and overall test average in lb/MMBtu, lb/MW, and lb/hr. The second set of queries includes the queries “Summary - Criteria Pollutants”, “Summary - HAPs”, and “Summary - HAPs + Criteria.” In these queries, the reported individual test run concentrations are corrected to 15 percent O₂ and the average of the corrected concentrations is computed.

Modules

Using raw test data (i.e., lab-reported pollutant concentrations and stack test parameters), calculations are performed to correct reported concentrations to 15 percent O₂ and to estimate emissions in lb/hr, lb/MW-hr and lb/MMBtu. Modules are small programs written in Visual Basic code that were built to perform the calculations. Each module contains functions. Calculations are performed within the module using parameters sent from the query when the function is called. The results of the calculation are returned to the query that called the module.

There are various modules in the emissions database that perform different tasks. A brief description of each of the modules used in the database follows:

Module AConc:	Computes the average concentration for the reported individual test run concentrations.
Module Convert:	Corrects the reported run concentrations to 15 percent O ₂ , inserts flags for non-detected concentrations, and calculates emission factors.
Module Correction:	Assigns ½ the detection limit for a given run to run concentrations that are reported as non-detects.
Module NonDetect:	Handles the criteria for the use of detection limits.
Module SigDig:	Performs the reduction of a calculated result to a given number of significant digits.

Reports

The report section contains several summaries of the calculated emissions data. Reports are included for individual test run concentrations as well as for average concentrations over all runs in the test. In the report section, a set of six different reports was built for each of the test data tables discussed above. These reports provide information about pollutant concentrations (corrected to 15 percent O₂) and emissions in units of lb/hr, lb/MMBtu, and lb/MW-hr. Individual sets of reports were also developed for test summaries and pollutant summaries. A listing of the reports included in the database is in Appendix C. In order to obtain the calculated emissions data, the appropriate report must be opened (when opening a report, all related queries and modules are run).

Many pollutants were not detected in some or all of the sampling runs conducted during a test. Individual run concentrations preceded by "<" in the reports indicate a non-detect concentration. In these cases, ½ the detection limit (DL) was substituted as the concentration for that run for calculation purposes. Detection limit values were not always provided and review of the lab report and additional calculations were necessary to determine the DL value. If the DL could not be calculated and the pollutant was not detected in all sampling runs, the pollutant was not entered into the database for that test. If more than one DL was determined for the same pollutant in a test, the highest DL value was used. A "<" appearing before an average concentration value indicates that at least one (but not all) of the individual run concentrations was a non-detect and the detection limit was averaged in as the concentration for that run. A "<<" preceding the average concentration denotes that *all* of the individual run concentrations were non-detects and that ½ the detection limit was used as the concentration.

A more complete description of the treatment of non-detects and detection limits is provided in the memorandum attached as Appendix D. This memorandum also provides information on the calculations performed on the raw data and the default assumptions used in the calculations.

Enclosure: Stationary Combustion Turbines Emissions Database, version 5
(ttestsv5_01.mdb)

Appendix A

Source Test References

1. Sun, D.T. Metals, PAH, and Benzene Emissions Measurements - Cogen Exhaust Stack Response to AB2588 Toxic "Hot Spots" Act. Prepared by The Almega Corporation (Project # C6774) for ARCO Products, Carson, California. March 19, 1991.
2. Source Emission Test Report Regarding Formaldehyde Emissions from the Agnews Cogeneration Facility, San Jose, California. Prepared by Best Environmental, Inc., San Leandro, California for Calpine, San Jose, California. October 2, 1992.
3. Bell, A.C. Emissions Inventory Testing at Long Beach Combustion Turbine No. 3 for Inclusion in Air Toxics Hot Spots Inventory Required Under AB2588. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Southern California Edison Company, Rosemead, California. May, 1989.
4. McDannel, M. D. Air Toxics Emissions Inventory Testing at Coolwater Generating Station Combustion Turbine No. 42 for Inclusion in Air Toxics Hot Spots Inventory Required Under AB2588. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Southern California Edison Company, Rosemead, California. May, 1990.
5. AB2588 Pooled Source Emission test Program. Prepared by Almega Corporation (Project # I6551) for Western States Petroleum Association, Glendale, California. July 9, 1990.
6. Source Test Report AB2588 for Turbine #1 at Choachella Power Plant. Prepared by South Coast Environmental Company, La Verne, California for Imperial Irrigation District, Imperial, California. February 25, 1991.
7. Vacherot, R.J. Emissions Testing of a Gas-Fired Cogeneration Facility to Satisfy AB2588 Requirement. Prepared by Horizon Air Measurement Services, Newbury Park, California for Reese Chamber Systems Consultants, Inc., Somis, California. June 25, 1991.
8. Emission Measurements for Speciated PAH's and BTXE Compounds on Gas Fired Turbine and Steam Generator. Prepared by The Almega Corporation (Project # C6823) for Shell Western E & P, Bakersfield, California. August 1, 1991.
9. Source Test Report for the Texaco Heater Treater, the Mobil Steam Generator, and the SWEPI Gas Turbine in the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution District,

- California. Prepared by Radian Corporation for Western States Petroleum Association, Bakersfield, California. September, 1992.
10. State of California Air Resources Board, Engineering Evaluation Branch Monitoring and Laboratory Division, Project # C-88-014. Evaluation Test on a Natural Gas Fired Turbine Cogeneration Facility, University Technical Services Inc., Taft, California. January 1989.
 11. Emission Testing at Gilroy Energy Company. Prepared by Pape & Steiner Environmental Services, Bakersfield, California for Gilroy Energy Company, Gilroy, California. August, 1990.
 12. Rooney, T. Emission Performance Testing of One Cogeneration Turbine at 32nd Street Naval Station, San Diego, California. Prepared by Western Environmental Services, Redondo Beach, California for Sithe Energies, U.S.A. Inc., San Diego, California. May 1991, revised November 1991.
 13. Reel, T. Test Report: AB2588 Testing for South Bay Unit 2 and Kearny GT-1. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for San Diego Gas & Electric Company, San Diego, California. October, 1991.
 14. Report of Air Pollution Source Testing For California AB 2588 at the Kern River Cogen Company, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc., Pasadena, California for Kern River Cogen Company, Bakersfield, California. March 28, 1990.
 15. LeBarron, D. Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Formaldehyde, CO, and NOX Emissions from Turbine Unit #2 at the Modesto Irrigation District McClure Road Generation Station, Modesto, California. Prepared by Ecoserve, Inc. Environmental Services, Pittsburgh, California for Modesto Irrigation District, Modesto California. November 28, 1990.
 16. AB2588 Toxic Emission Testing LM2500 Cogen. Prepared by BTC Environmental, Inc., Ventura, California for Willamette Industries, Inc. Port Hueneme, California. October 21, 1991.
 17. Pecaut, A.D. Emissions Testing From a Gas-Fired Cogeneration Facility To Determine Benzene Concentration. Prepared by Horizon Air Measurement Services, Inc. for Willamette Industries, Oxnard California. January 7, 1992.
 18. McRae, G. Air Toxic Emissions Testing of Natural Gas Fired Turbine at Sycamore Cogeneration Company, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. Bakersfield, California for Sycamore Cogeneration Company,

Bakersfield, California. June 30, 1992.

19. Gas Turbine Emission Testing for McClure Generating Station. Prepared by Acurex Corporation, Mountain View, California for Modesto Irrigation District, Modesto, California. December 18, 1989.
20. AB2588 Testing at University Technical Services Petro-Lewis Cogeneration Plant, Taft, California. Prepared by Pape & Steiner Environmental Services, Bakersfield, California for University Technical Services, San Diego, California. August, 1990.
21. Vacherot, R.J. AB2588 Toxic Emissions Test Results on Gas-Fired Cogeneration Facility, Dexzel Combined Cycle Facility Authority to Operate Permit No. 488001 (A). Prepared by Horizon, Air Measurement Services, Inc. for Diamond (Dexzel) Energy, Inc., Los Angeles, California. July 8, 1992.
22. Schnitt, M.L. AB2588 Emission Test Report, Procter & Gamble, Sacramento Cogeneration Facility. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Procter and Gamble Manufacturing Company, Sacramento, California. April, 1991.
23. Air Pollution Source Testing for California AB2588 on Heat Recovery Steam Generator at Chevron USA, Inc. Gaviota, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Pasadena, California for Chevron USA, Inc., Ventura, California. April 20, 1990.
24. Shih, C.C., et al. Emissions Assessment of Conventional Stationary Combustion Systems; Volume II Internal Combustion Sources. Prepared by TRW, Inc., Redondo Beach, California for US EPA, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC (Contract No. 68-02-2197). EPA-600/7-79-029c. February 1979.
25. Wessel, T. Determination of Emissions from the Cogeneration Unit at UC Berkeley, Berkeley, California. Prepared by Ecoserve, Inc. for Stewart and Stevenson, Berkeley, California. September 25, 1991 revised November 6, 1991.
26. Test Report: Calpine Corporation, Sumas Washington. Prepared by Amtest Air Quality, Inc. August 31, 1995.
27. AB2588 Air Toxics Emissions Testing Natural Gas Fired Turbine. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc., Bakersfield, California for Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company. June 23, 1993 revised July 1, 1993.
28. Hinkle, J. Compliance Source Test Report for Watsonville Cogeneration partnership. Prepared by Petro-Chem Environmental Services, Inc. Bakersfield, California for Watsonville Cogeneration Partnership, Watsonville, California. June

- 2, 1993.
29. Shareef, G., Ferry, K., Gundappa, M., Leatherwood, C., Ogle, L., and Campbell, L. Measurement of Air Toxic Emissions from Natural Gas-Fired Internal Combustion Engines at Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities. Prepared by Radian Corporation, RTP, NC for Gas Research Institute, Chicago, Illinois. February, 1996.
 200. Test Report on Exhaust Emissions from Westinghouse B-8 Gas Turbine and Heat Recovery Boiler at the Baytown Refinery, Boilerhouse 6. Prepared for EXXON Company, USA, 7, 1990.
 201. Report of Oxides of Nitrogen Compliance Emission Determination of the Cogeneration System, Tastykake Company, Philadelphia, PN. Tested by BCM Engineers, Inc., October 1989.
 202. R. Burkeen, to M. Pratt. State Of New Jersey, Department Of Environmental Protection, Division Environmental Quality, Trenton, NJ. Memorandum: Nitrogen Oxide, Carbon Monoxide and Total Hydrocarbon Emission Testing for a Gas Turbine At Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Hanover, NJ. November 13 and 14, 1990.
 203. Engineering Testing at PGT Compressor Station 6, Unit A. Prepared by Carnot, Concord, California for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco, California. November 14, 1994.
 204. Test Report for the 1994 Initial Compliance and Monitor Certification Testing on the SoLoNOx Combustor (unit A) at PGT Compressor Station 6, Near Rosalia, Washington. Prepared by Carnot, Boulder, Colorado for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco, California. October, 1994.
 205. Compliance Source Test Report performed by Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc., Bakersfield, California. Prepared for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco, California. April 10, 1991.
 206. Test Report for the Relative Accuracy Test Audit at PGT Compressor Station 6, Unit A, Near Rosalia, Washington. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco, California. May, 1995.
 207. Source Emission Evaluation for Northwest Pipeline Corporation for Roosevelt Compressor Station Solar Taurus T7000 Natural Gas Turbine, Klickitat County, Washington. Prepared by AmTest Air Quality, Inc. Preston, Washington. August, 1993.

208. Test Report for the Initial Compliance Testing at the PGT Compressor Station 7, Unit C, Near Starbuck, Washington. Prepared by Carnot, Concord, California for Pacific Gas Transmission Company, San Francisco, California. July, 1993.
209. Source Emission Evaluation for Northwest Pipeline Corporation for Willard Compressor Station Solar Turbines, Inc. "Centaur-H" T5500, Natural Gas Turbine, Willard, Washington. Prepared by AmTest Air Quality, Inc. Preston, Washington. September 27-28, 1994.
210. Source Test Report Four 75 Megawatt Gas Turbines Kern River Cogeneration Company, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science for Submittal to San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. March 9, 1993.
211. Emission Test Results at Compressor Station 6, Unit A, Near Rosalia, Washington. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Pacific Gas Transmission Company. Jan, 1991.
212. Agrico Cogeneration Corporation, San Joaquin, California, Emission Tests. Report #7777-0263. Prepared by Genesis Environmental Services Company. June 12, 1991.
213. Report Of Compliance and Relative Accuracy Testing, Turbine SCR Inlet/Outlet and Diverter Valve, Gaviota Oil and Gas Processing Plant, Gaviota, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. June 4, 1993.
214. Source Test Report: Four 75 Megawatt Gas Turbines Sycamore Cogeneration Company, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science for San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. March 12, 1993.
215. Air Pollution Compliance Test Live Oak Cogeneration Facility. Prepared by Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc. May 1993.
216. Source Test Report and Relative Accuracy Audit, Single Natural Gas Fired Turbine, Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Coalinga, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for Submittal to San Joaquin Unified Air Pollution Control District. April 1, 1993.
217. Compliance Source Test Report for U.S. Borax & Chemical Corporation Boron (48MW Cogen) Facility. Prepared by Titan Environmental. Tested December 8, 1993.
218. Report on Source Emission Testing of a Gas Turbine Conducted for Oakland Scavenger. Reference: TMA/Norcal C.N. 5-410. May 22, 1990.

219. Compliance Source Test Report for U.S. Borax & Chemical Corporation Boron (48MW Cogen) Facility. Prepared by Titan Environmental. Tested December 8, 1993.
220. Source Test Report Double C Limited, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Petro Chem Environmental Services. March 1993.
221. Report of Annual Air Pollution Compliance Testing of a Gas Turbine Cogeneration Unit. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for Kern County Air Pollution Control District. February 18, 1991.
222. Annual Compliance Test Chevron U.S.A. 26C Cogeneration Plant Unit #'s 1,2,3,&4. Report #7777-0361. Prepared by Genesis Environmental Services Company. August 1992.
224. Air Pollution Source Testing at Chalk Cliff Limited Cogeneration Facility, Maricopa, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for Submittal to San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District/Kern County APCD. January 1992.
225. Test Report on Exhaust Emissions from Two Westinghouse Model W301-G Gas Turbines at the Refinery in Philadelphia, PA, Tested by Sun Refining and Marketing Company, May 1991.
226. Compliance Test Report #098-303 (Turbine) South Vandenburg Power Plant. Prepared by Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc. December 1993.
227. Source Sampling Report for Measurement of NO_x and CO Emissions, Combustion Turbine Units 11, 12, and 22, Philadelphia Electric Company. Report R-04-6314-000. Prepared by Gilbert/Commonwealth, Inc. August, 1991.
228. Combustion Turbine Emissions Test Report for Utilities of Springfield, MO. Prepared by Total Source Analysis, Inc. August , 1991.
229. Emission Testing at Coalinga Cogeneration Plant. Report PS-90-2284. Prepared by Steiner Environmental, Inc. for Shell Western Exploration & Production, Inc. October 1990.
230. Air Pollution Compliance Test for Two Turbines at Kern Front Limited Cogeneration Facility, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science for Kern County Air Pollution Control District. March 1993.
231. Oxides of Nitrogen, Carbon Monoxide and Opacity, Source # 87-4, Amoco Production Company, Morganza Field CTB #1 and Sweetening Facility, Morganza,

- Louisiana. June 28, 1988.
232. Source Emission Evaluation for Northwest Pipeline Corporation for Willard Compressor Station Solar Turbines, Inc. "Centaur-H" T5500, Natural Gas Turbine, Willard, Washington. Prepared by AmTest Air Quality, Inc. Preston, Washington. August 16-17, 20, 1993.
 233. Grau, Joseph. Cogeneration Partners Riverside Hospital Cogeneration Unit, Toledo, Ohio, Emission Test Report. Prepared by Monarch Analytical Laboratories, Inc. June 15, 1989.
 234. W. Schneider, Air Pollution Control Engineer, Division Of Technical Services And Monitoring, Bureau Of Air Quality Control, Commonwealth Of Pennsylvania, to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (TRANSCO), Station 515, Bear Creek Township, Lazerne County, PA. Memorandum: Emission Evaluation of the Solar Natural Gas Fired Turbine and Natural Gas Fired Reciprocating Engine. June 1, 1992.
 235. GE Gas Turbine Cogeneration Facility, Emission Compliance Test Program, Final Test Report. ARI Project No.: 408-24. Prepared By: ARI Environmental, Inc., Arlington Heights, IL for FINA Oil And Chemical Company, Port Arthur, TX. February 7-9, 1989.
 236. Source Test Report Gas Turbine at Chalk Cliff. Prepared by Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc. January 1993.
 237. Emissions Test Report, G.E. Frame 3 Gas Turbine, Tennessee Gas Pipeline, Station 17, East Bernard, TX. Prepared By: Pellette, Tom, Tenneco Gas, Technical Services Department, Houston, TX for Texas Air Control Board. January 31, 1990.
 238. Test Report for Air Emissions Testing and Relative Accuracy Audit at the SERK Cogeneration Facility Bakersville, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. February 11, 1993.
 239. Source Test Report High Sierra Limited Cogeneration Facility. Prepared by Petro Chem Environmental Services, Inc. March 1992.
 240. Emission Testing at Coalinga Cogeneration Plant. Report PS-91-2367. Prepared by Steiner Environmental, Inc. for Shell Western Exploration & Production, Inc. February 1991.
 241. Air Pollution Source Testing at the Kern Front Limited Cogeneration Facility, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science for Submittal to Kern

- County Air Pollution Control District. March 6, 1992.
242. Source Test of Three Natural Gas Fired Turbines at the SEKR Cogeneration Facility, Bakersfield, California. Prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District. April 27, 1992.
 300. Measurement of Emissions, Total Energy Facility Gas Turbine at Joint Water Pollution Control Plant, Carson California. Prepared by Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc., Cypress, California for County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, Whittier, California. August 17, 1992.
 301. Measurement of Emissions, Total Energy Facility Gas Turbine No. 1, Digester Gas Inlet at Joint Water Pollution Control Plant, Carson California. Prepared by Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc., Stanton, California for County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County, Whittier, California. March 19, 1993.
 302. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. June, 1990.
 303. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, December 1990. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. February, 1991.
 304. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, August 1991. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. November, 1991.
 305. Emission Tests on the Natco Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, September 1993. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. November, 1993.
 306. Emission Tests on the Natco Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, November 1991. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. December, 1991.
 307. Emission Tests on the Natco Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, November 1994. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. December, 1994.
 308. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, March 1995. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. April, 1995.

309. Emission Test Results on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, November 21, 1995. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. January, 1996.
310. Emission Tests on the Natco Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, July 1990. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. September, 1990.
311. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, October 1992. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. January, 1993.
312. Emission Tests on the Solar Turbine at Puente Hills Landfill, September 1993. Prepared by Carnot, Tustin, California for Los Angeles County Sanitation District, Whittier, California. November, 1993.
- 313 - 317. Tests conducted on gas turbines by Carnot, Boulder, Colorado, at confidential locations.
318. Formaldehyde, Acetaldehyde, and Benzene Control Efficiency at Federal Cold Storage, March 14, 1997. Prepared by Delta Air Quality Services, Orange, California, for Sunlaw Energy Corporation, Los Angeles, California. April 2, 1997.
319. Test Report for Fort St. Vrain Station, Unit No. 3 Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG) Stack, October 5-6, 1999. Prepared by Airtech Environmental Services, Inc., Evergreen, Colorado, for New Century Energies Company, PSCO. January 13, 2000.
320. Emissions Test Report for Fort St. Vrain Power Plant, June 23-26, 1998. Prepared by SCEC, Orange, California, for Black & Veatch, Kansas City, Missouri. September, 1998.
321. Emissions Test Report for Fort St. Vrain Power Plant, June 23-26, 1998. Prepared by SCEC, Orange, California, for Black & Veatch, Kansas City, Missouri. August 2, 1996.
322. Source Emissions Testing Report for Fort St. Vrain Power Unit #3 Bypass, March 15-19, 1999. Prepared by Air Pollution Testing, Inc., Salt Lake City, Denver, Prepared for New Century Energies, Denver, Colorado.
323. Source Emission Evaluation for Coyote Springs Power Generation Project, November 16-20, 1996. Prepared by AmTest-Air Quality, LLC., Preston,

Washington, for Raytheon Constructors, Inc., Boardman, Oregon. January 15, 1996.

324. Source Emission Evaluation for Hermiston Generating Project, June 13-15, 17, 20-21, and 23, 1996. Prepared by AmTest-Air Quality, LLC., Preston, Washington, for Bechtel Power Corporation, Hermiston, Oregon. July 9, 1996.

Appendix B Definitions of Database Fields

The "Facility" table contains the following fields:

ID	-	The Test Identification Number. The ID corresponds to the test reference number in Appendix A, Source Test References.
Facility Name	-	The name of the facility.
Location	-	The location of the test site.
Testing Company	-	The name of the company that conducted the test.
Date	-	The date the test was performed.
Tester	-	The name of the person that conducted the test.
Manufacturer	-	The name of the turbine manufacturer.
Model	-	The turbine model designation.
Load	-	The load at which the turbine is operated at during the test.
Rating	-	The rating of the turbine.
Unit	-	The rating units.
Test Rating	-	The rate at which the test was conducted.
Units Test R	-	The test rating units.
Fuel Type	-	The fuel used for charging.
Application	-	Any supplemental information, comments on turbine use, and any underlying assumptions.
Control Device	-	The type of device used to control emissions.
Comments	-	Any comments.
Flag Control Device	-	Control Device.

The "Test Data" table consists of the following fields:

ID	-	Test Identification Number.
Pollutant	-	The name of the hazardous air pollutant.
Method	-	The method used for sampling and quantification of pollutant.
Run 1 Conc R	-	The reported concentration for Run 1.
Run 2 Conc R	-	The reported concentration for Run 2.
Run 3 Conc R	-	The reported concentration for Run 3.
SD	-	The number of significant digits.
DL	-	The detection limit reported for the pollutant.
Avg Conc R	-	The average of the reported concentration for all runs.
C Unit	-	The units used for reported concentration.
Run 1 O ₂	-	The percent oxygen in exhaust measured in Run 1.
Run 2 O ₂	-	The percent oxygen in exhaust measured in Run 2.
Run 3 O ₂	-	The percent oxygen in exhaust measured in Run 3.
Blank Conc1	-	The blank concentration for Run 1, when available.
Blank Conc2	-	The blank concentration for Run 2, when available.
Blank Conc3	-	The blank concentration for Run 3, when available.

Run 1 Rate	-	The pollutant emission rate reported for Run 1.
Run 2 Rate	-	The pollutant emission rate reported for Run 2.
Run 3 Rate	-	The pollutant emission rate reported for Run 3.
Avg Rate	-	The average pollutant emission rate for all runs.
Runit	-	The units for the pollutant emission rate.
Run 1 Factor	-	The pollutant emission factor reported during Run 1.
Run 2 Factor	-	The pollutant emission factor reported during Run 2.
Run 3 Factor	-	The pollutant emission factor reported during Run 3.
Avg Factor	-	The average pollutant emission factor for all runs.
FUnit	-	The units for the pollutant emission factor.
Run 1 Fuel Factor	-	The F-factor for the fuel used for firing (dscf/MMBtu).
Run 1 Gas Flowrate	-	The exhaust gas flowrate for Run 1.
Run 2 Gas Flowrate	-	The exhaust gas flowrate for Run 2.
Run 3 Gas Flowrate	-	The exhaust gas flowrate for Run 3.
Gas Flowrate Unit	-	The units for the gas flowrate.
Fuel Heating Value	-	The heating value of the fuel.
Fuel Heating Value Unit	-	The units for the fuel heating value.
Standard Temperature	-	The standard temperature used for the emission calculations.
Standard Temperature Unit	-	The units for the standard temperature.
Run 1 Fuel Flowrate	-	The fuel firing rate in Run 1.
Run 2 Fuel Flowrate	-	The fuel firing rate in Run 2.
Run 3 Fuel Flowrate	-	The fuel firing rate in Run 3.
Avg Fuel Flowrate	-	The average fuel firing rate for all runs.
Fuel Flowrate Unit	-	The units for the fuel flowrate.
Run 1 % Moist	-	The amount of moisture detected in the exhaust for Run 1.
Run 2 % Moist	-	The amount of moisture detected in the exhaust for Run 2.
Run 3 % Moist	-	The amount of moisture detected in the exhaust for Run 3.
Avg % Moist	-	The average amount of moisture detected for all runs.
MW	-	The molecular weight of the pollutant.
Stack Temperature	-	The temperature of the stack.
Stack Temperature Units	-	The units of the stack temperature.
Comm	-	Any comments.

The following tables contain the same fields as the "Test Data" table:

c Test Data - Criteria Pollutants

- c Test Data - HAPs
- c Test Data - HAPs + Criteria

Appendix C
Reports Included in the Stationary Combustion Turbines Emissions Database

Report Title	Data Reported
"Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/MW-hr)" "HAPs Pollutant Summary (lb/MW-hr)" "HAPs + Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/MW-hr)"	Pollutant, Fuel Type, ID, Turbine Description, individual test runs and average lb/MW-hr
"Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/MMBtu)" "HAPs Pollutant Summary (lb/MMBtu)" "HAPs + Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/MMBtu)"	Pollutant, Fuel Type, ID, Turbine Description, individual runs and average lb/MMBtu
"Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/hr)" "HAPs Pollutant Summary (lb/hr)" "HAPs + Criteria Pollutant Summary (lb/hr)"	Pollutant, Fuel Type, ID, Turbine Description, individual runs and average lb/hr
"Criteria Pollutant Summary - Average conc @ 15% O ₂ " "HAPs Pollutant Summary - Average conc @ 15% O ₂ " "HAPs + Criteria Pollutant Summary - Average conc @ 15% O ₂ "	Fuel Type, Pollutant, ID, Method, DL, Concentration (at 15% O ₂), Rating, Load
"Criteria Pollutant Summary/run - Concentrations @ 15% O ₂ " "HAPs Pollutant Summary/run - Concentrations @ 15% O ₂ " "HAPs + Criteria Pollutant Summary/run - Concentrations @ 15% O ₂ "	Fuel Type, Pollutant, ID, Method, DL, Corrected Concentration at 15% O ₂ for each run, Concentration Units
"Facility Criteria Pollutants Summary (lb/MMBtu)" "Facility HAPs Pollutants Summary (lb/MMBtu)" "Facility HAPs + Criteria Pollutants Summary (lb/MMBtu)"	ID, Description, Manufacturer, Model, Testing Company, Date, Pollutant, Method, lb/MMBtu for each run and average
"HAPs Averages Max Avg"	Fuel, Pollutant, ID, Method, Uncorrected Concentration and Unit, maximum and average for lb/hr, lb/MMBtu, and lb/MW-hr

Appendix D

MEMORANDUM

DATE : March 6, 1998
SUBJECT : Documentation on the Combustion Turbines Emissions Database
TO : Combustion Turbines Project File
FROM : Ana Rosa Alvarez and Dan Herndon

This memorandum provides a short description of the development of the emissions database for turbines, including assumptions used in the underlying calculations.

Development of the Emissions Database

The emission test reports were first carefully reviewed and summarized. Facility name, location, testing company, date of testing, make and model of turbine, manufacturer rating (and units), load, fuel type, application and control device (for emissions) were entered in a table named "Facilities." Pollutant name, sampling method, concentrations and units, detection limits and units, percent oxygen, fuel factors, exhaust gas flow rates, stack temperature, fuel heating value and flow rate, percent humidity, standard temperature, and pollutant molecular weight were entered in a table named "Test Data." Emission rates (lb/hr) and emission factors (lb/MMBtu) were also entered in that table for comparison with the emissions calculated in the database using the pollutant concentrations for each test run.

Test reports included in the database were identified using the following scheme: numbers from 1 to 99 were assigned to tests containing only HAP, and numbers greater than 100 were allocated for tests with only criteria pollutants or with both HAP and criteria pollutants. Exceptions are the reports numbered 10 and 15. These test reports contain both HAP and criteria pollutant test results. They are numbered as HAP-only type reports because criteria pollutant data were identified in these reports after the first version of the database was posted on the TTN. Test reports containing more than one turbine, multiple load conditions, different fuels, control device inlet and outlet samples (criteria pollutant data only), or more than three sampling runs were assigned the same initial number followed by an extension (for example, 1.1 or 1.1.1).

Some of the test reports in the database include an "x" symbol at the end of the test report number (e.g., test report 8x). The "x" symbol indicates that the test report does not meet the acceptance criteria developed by the CTWG. The data from these test reports are included in the database for informational purposes only.

Construction of database reports (i.e., summaries of relevant data) required the complete separation of tests with HAP-only data from tests with only criteria pollutant data and tests with both HAP and criteria pollutant data. The "Test Data" table was consequently divided into three tables: "Test Data - HAPs," containing all HAP data in the Test Data table; "Test Data - Criteria Pollutants," containing all criteria pollutant data in the Test Data table, and "Test Data - HAPs + Criteria," containing the tests that include data for both HAP and criteria pollutants.

In the report section, a set of 6 different reports was built for each of the test data tables discussed above. These reports provide information about pollutant concentrations (corrected to 15 percent O₂) and emissions in units of lb/hr, lb/MMBtu, and lb/MW-hr. Individual sets of reports were also developed for test summaries and pollutant summaries.

Treatment of non-detected or non-reported concentrations

Many pollutants, especially HAP, were not detected in some or all of the sampling runs collected during a test. In these cases, concentrations were entered in the database as "ND." Although the test reports identified those pollutants not detected for a given testing run, the detection limit (DL) values were not always provided (i.e., ND was reported rather than a detection limit concentration). Often, review of the lab report and some additional calculations were necessary to determine the DL concentration. For example, in the case of formaldehyde, detection limits were usually given in micrograms or micrograms per milliliter in the lab report. Estimation of the DL in the same units as the test data (e.g., ppb) involved the use of the sample volume collected during the test and additional unit conversions (for example, micrograms/cubic meter to ppb).

Unfortunately, the DL could not always be found or calculated based on the laboratory report. Whenever a pollutant was not detected in all three runs and the DL could not be determined, the pollutant was removed from the database. This procedure was used for report ID #1 for benzene and chromium (VI). Also, due to the calculations discussed above, two or three different DLs (one per testing run) were determined for the same pollutant in some tests. The protocol followed in these cases was to take the highest DL value.

In some tests, only one or two runs were conducted, or runs were eliminated during test report preparation due to sampling problems encountered during the test. Missing runs were entered as NR (not reported) in the database. Other parameters missing from the test reports, such as exhaust gas flow rates, were also entered in the database as NR.

The acronym NA sometimes appears in the DL field. This acronym is used in those cases when a pollutant was measured above the detection limit in all of the testing runs but a detection limit value was not reported in the test report.

Equations

Using raw test data (i.e., lab-reported pollutant concentrations and stack test parameters), calculations were performed to estimate emissions in lb/hr, lb/MW-hr and lb/MMBtu. Modules, small programs written in Visual Basic code, were built to perform the calculations. There are various modules in the emissions database that perform different tasks, but only the main modules are described in this memorandum.

The equations used in the modules were taken from EPA sampling methods 19 and 20 in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A. For example, for the correction of the dry pollutant concentration to 15 percent O₂, Equation 20-4 from EPA method 20 is used:

$$C_{adj} = C_d * \frac{20.9 - 15}{20.9 - \%O_2}$$

where %O₂ refers to the reported oxygen level during the testing and C_d to the pollutant dry concentration in ppb.

For the calculation of emission rates in lb/hr, lb/MW-hr, and lb/MMBtu, the following equations were used :

1. Pounds per hour:

When the concentration of pollutant is given in ppb :

$$M(\text{lb/hr}) = C_{\text{ppb}} * Q * 60 * \frac{MW}{T_{\text{std}} + 460} * 1.369 \times 10^{-9}$$

where C_{ppb} is the dry concentration of pollutant in ppb; Q is the exhaust gas flow rate in dry standard cubic feet per minute; 60 is the conversion factor from minutes to hours; MW is the pollutant molecular weight (in lb/lb-mol); T_{std} is the standard temperature in degrees Fahrenheit used in the test report; 460 is the conversion factor from degrees Fahrenheit to degrees Rankine; and 1.369x10⁻⁹ is the conversion factor from ppb to pounds per cubic feet. The conversion factor from ppb to pounds per cubic feet was derived from 40 CFR, App. A, Meth. 20, page 1026.

When the concentration of a pollutant is given in units other than ppb or ppm, the equation is :

$$M(\text{lb/hr}) = C_p * Q * 60 * A$$

where C_p is the concentration of pollutant in micrograms per dry cubic feet (: g/dscf), micrograms per dry cubic meter (: g/dscm), grams per dry cubic feet (g/dscf) or grams per dry cubic meter (g/dscm). For particulate matter, concentrations are in grains per dry cubic feet (gr/dscf), grains per dry cubic meter (gr/dscm), micrograins per dry cubic feet (: gr/dscf) and micrograins per dry cubic meter (: gr/dscm). Q is the exhaust gas flow rate in dry standard cubic feet per minute; 60 is the conversion factor from minutes to hours; and A is a conversion factor from the given units to lb/dscf.

The values for A for the different units are:

- 1.1 For : g/dscf, $A = 2.205 \times 10^{-8}$
- 1.2 For : g/dscm, $A = 6.24 \times 10^{-10}$
- 1.3 For g/dscf and g/dscm, multiplying the values given for A in 1.1 and 1.2 by 1×10^{-6} , respectively
- 1.4 For : gr/dscf, $A = 1.43 \times 10^{-10}$.
- 1.5 For : gr/dscm, $A = 4.043 \times 10^{-12}$.
- 1.6 For gr/dscf and gr/dscm, multiplying 1.4 and 1.5 by 1×10^{-6}

2. Pounds per megawatt-hour:

The emission factor is calculated by dividing the emissions rate in lb/hr by the turbine rating during the test. The manufacturer rating and the test load are necessary data for this calculation. When load was not available, it was assumed to be 100 percent. The equation is :

$$M(\text{lb/MW-hr}) = \frac{M(\text{lb/hr})}{\frac{R * L}{100}}$$

where $M(\text{lb/hr})$ is the emission rate in lb/hr; R is the manufacturer rating for the turbine in MW; and L is the turbine testing load in percent.

3. Pounds per million Btu:

The equation is :

$$M(\text{lb/MMBtu}) = C_p * F * \frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_2} * B * \left(\frac{MW}{T_{std} + 460} \right)$$

where C_p is the dry concentration of pollutant in any of the units already described for the calculation of emission factors (1.1 - 1.6); F is the fuel factor in dry standard cubic feet per minute per million Btu; the fraction $20.9/(20.9-\%O_2)$ is an oxygen correction factor; and B is the conversion factor corresponding to the units in which the pollutant concentration is reported (see the units described in 1.1 - 1.6). The fraction $MW/(T_{std}+460)$ is a conversion factor used only when the pollutant concentration was provided in ppb.

When the fuel factor or standard temperature was not available, defaults were used. These defaults are discussed in next section.

A sample of the modules used for the calculations is provided in Attachment F-1.

Defaults and Assumptions

For the estimation of emission factors from the concentrations given in ppb, gaseous pollutants were assumed to have ideal gas behavior, so that the volume occupied by an ideal gas (22.4 liters/mol) could be used for calculation of a conversion factor.

Not all of the reports contained the necessary information required for the calculation of emission factors. Important parameters are concentrations, units, detection limits, oxygen levels, exhaust gas flow rates, fuel factors, standard temperatures and molecular weights. In most cases, fuel factors and standard temperatures were missing. In some cases, exhaust gas flow rates were not provided in the report. Lack of gas flow rates still allows for the calculation of emission factors in pounds per million Btu. Consequently, tests lacking exhaust gas flow rates were kept in the database, but the emissions in pound per hour are shown as NR.

For non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) and total hydrocarbons (THC), a molecular weight of 16 (as methane) was assumed. Test reports in the database indicated a molecular weight of 16 for THC and, in most cases, for NMHC. However, in some test reports, the molecular weight chosen to report emission factors for NMHC was the molecular weight of hexane.

Fields with NR for fuel factors and standard temperatures were filled with default values based on Table 19-1 in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A. A default standard temperature of 68°F was used. This standard temperature was selected because EPA sampling methods rely on this value.

As discussed earlier, some pollutants were not detected in one or more of the sampling runs conducted during a test. In these cases, the detection limit was used in the emission calculations. Reports generated in the emissions database use a "<" sign in front of the sampling run concentration, as well as the average concentration calculated for the three runs, to indicate when a pollutant was not detected in one or more of the runs. When a

pollutant was not detected in all three runs, a “<<” sign is shown in front of the average concentration presented in the database reports. The DL value was used in calculating the average concentration when a pollutant was not detected in one or more of the runs.

Attachment D-1
Sample of modules used in the database

The modules shown here are the modules for the calculation of emission factors in pounds per million Btu (Module Convert) and the module that handles the criteria for the use of detection limits (Module NonDetect).

1. Module for the calculation of emission factors in pounds per million Btu

- 1.1 Declaring the function that will perform the calculations and return the result to the query. The parameters r, s, t, u, v, w, z refer to concentration units (r), fuel factor (s), molecular weight (t), standard temperature (u), percent oxygen (v), concentration (w), and a parameter (z, set to three in the database) used to limit the number of significant digits (utilizing another module) in the result.

Function lbMMBtu (r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z)

- 1.2 Estimating the emission factor to return to the query that is calling this module. First the module identifies the units (r=ppb), then it makes sure that there are values in all necessary fields and finally performs the calculation. SigDig_ is calling another module that will perform the reduction of the result to a given number (z) of significant digits. Val calls for the numerical value of the field being processed.

*If ((r = "ppb") And Not (s = "NR" Or t = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(t) * (.00000000137 / (Val(u) + 460)) *
(20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))) * Val(w)), z))*

*Elseif ((r = "ug/dscm") And Not (s = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(w) * .0283 * .000000002204 *
(20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))))), z))*

*Elseif ((r = "ug/dscf") And Not (s = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(w) * .000000002204 *
(20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))))), z))*

*Elseif ((r = "gr/dscf") And Not (s = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(w) * (20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))) / 7000), z))*

*Elseif ((r = "ugr/dscm") And Not (s = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(w) * .0283 * (20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))) *
0.000001 / 7000), z))*

```
Elseif ((r = "gr/dscm") And Not (s = "NR" Or v = "NR" Or w = "NR")) Then
    lbMMBtu = CStr(SigDig_((Val(s) * Val(w) * .0283 * (20.9 / (20.9 - Val(v))) /
    7000), z))
```

- 1.3 In any other case (units not recognized or necessary parameters were not reported) the function is returned with the value "NR"

```
Else
    lbMMBtu = "NR"
End If
End Function
```

2. Module Handling the use of non-detected values

- 2.1 Declaring the function that will return the values to the query. The parameters x and y refer respectively to concentration and detection limit.

```
Function Correction (x, y)
```

- 2.2 Identifying the concentration. If it is not reported, return the value "NR;" if it is not detected, take the value of the detection limit as the value for the concentration to be returned. Otherwise leave the value as it is.

```
If (x = "NR") Then
    Correction = "NR"
Elseif
If (x = "ND") Then
    Correction = y
Else
    Correction = x
End If

End Function
```