DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION
Interim Final 6/12/2003
RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: Olin Chemical Company
Facility Address: 960 I-10 West, Westlake, Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana
Facility EPA ID #: LAD 008 080 681

1.Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

_X____ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

_____ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

____ If data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**[1] above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

_____ If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.

__X__ If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s) See Below

A portion of the Olin site was sold to Lyondell in December of 1996. While Olin is responsible for the pre-1996 contamination on the Lyondell site the information provided in this document pertains to the current Olin facility. The facility is closed and is not currently operating. The units are described below:

Interim Status Landfill (ISL) RCRA –LAD008080681 The unit is currently in post-closure monitoring from April 1986 to April 2016. Monitor Wells are sampled quarterly. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated March 03, LDEQ 2002 CME

Settlement Agreement Landfill (SAL) Ground Water Corrective Action –Closed, Monitor wells are sampled quarterly. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated March 03, LDEQ 2002 CME

Remediated Landfill (RL) Ground Water Corrective Action-Closed. Monitor wells are sampled semi-annually. Monitoring period ends July 2021. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated November 26 2002, LDEQ 2002 CME

Waste Water Treatment System (WWTS) Solid Waste, P-0230, Closed, completed 3 years of post-closure monitoring and have been released by LDEQ/SWD. The five monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. The five wells are included in the Remediated Landfill program. Reference: Ground Water Report dated November 26 2002, LDEQ 2002 CME.

Lime Ditch Solid Waste (P-0228) closed 1996, sampled semi-annually. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Wells not sampled since July 1999. Reference: Final Ground Water Report dated April 2002, LDEQ 2002 CME.

West Pond Solid Waste (OC-0071) closed. Post Closure period ended in January 1994. Monitor wells are sampled on a semi-annual basis. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated April 2002, LDEQ 2002 CME.

Ketazene Pond Solid Waste (OC-0073) closed. Post Closure period ended in November 1993. Monitor wells are sampled on a semi-annual basis. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated April 2002, LDEQ 2002 CME.

North and South Ponds Solid Waste (P-0029) closed. Post Closure ended October 1995. Monitor wells are not currently sampled. The monitor wells have not exceeded permit levels. Reference: Ground Water Report dated March 2000, LDEQ 2002 CME.

Other areas at the facility include:1)Low PH Area, 2) MCB Assessment Area, and 3) the Aniline Area. All of the units at the Olin facility are currently in detection monitoring. At this point in time there are no known areas of contamination over RECAP levels.

Footnotes:

[1]"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL

and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater"[2] as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

_____ If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]).

_____ If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

[2] "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4. Does "contaminated" groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

_____ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.

_____ If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

5. Is the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be **"insignificant"** (i.e., the maximum concentration[3] of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

_____ If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.

_____ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations[3] greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

_____ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

[3] As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

6. Can the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "**currently acceptable**" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented[4])?

_____ If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment,[5] appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.

_____If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "**currently acceptable**") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.

_____ If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

[4] Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

[5] The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

__Yes If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."

_____ If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.

_____ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):___Contaminated groundwater has not yet been identified at any of the units; Groundwater monitoring will be continued until permit expiration and/or the wells are appropriately plugged and abandoned. Information concerning the potentiometric maps, site maps, and monitor well data will be attached to this form. _____

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

_YE____ YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Olin Chemical Company facility, EPA ID #_LAD0080800681, located at 960 –I-10 West, Westlake, Calcasieu Parish, LA. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

_____ NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.

_____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by Janelle Williams Date 6-27-03
Janelle Williams
Geologist II

Supervisor _____ Date _____
Ellen Broussard
Geologist III

Supervisor Lewis Donlon Date 7/21/03
Lewis A. Donlon
Geologist Supervisor

EPA Larry Brady GPD-M 4/7/03

Locations where References may be found:

LDEQ, South West Regional office at 1301 Gadwall Street, Lake Charles, LA 70615

LDEQ, Baton Rouge Office at 7290 Bluebonnet, Baton Rouge, LA 708010.
Future office at 602 N. Fifth Street , Baton Rouge, 70802

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

Janelle Williams
(337) 491-2667
janelle_w@deq.state.la.us

ATTACHMENTS
AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST