



**EPA Office of Compliance  
Enforcement Targeting and Data Division**

# **AFS National Workshop**

San Francisco, CA

August 7-9, 2007

EPA does not necessarily endorse the policies or views of the presenters, and does not endorse the purchase or sale of any commercial services or products mentioned in this presentation.

Media Systems and Support Section, Data Systems and Information Management Branch, Enforcement Targeting and Data Division, Office of Compliance, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20460

***Is the *Timely and Appropriate  
Enforcement Response to High  
Priority Violations* policy being  
implemented?***

AFS Workshop 2007

Jason Swift

U.S. EPA, Region 2



## **EPA Strategic Plan (2006 – 2011)**

- **Objective 5.1 – Achieve Environmental Protection Through Improved Compliance**
- **Means/Strategies:**
  1. **Use strategic targeting to conduct monitoring and enforcement activities.**
  2. **Identify the most egregious violators and return them to compliance as quickly as possible.**

## **OECA National Program Guidance**

- **Core Program: Compliance Monitoring**
- **Means/Strategies:**
  1. **Identifying, tracking and coordinating with state, local and tribal environmental agencies those violators that are or should be High Priority Violators.**

## **Region 2 Strategic Plan (2004 – 2008)**

- **Objective 5.1 – Improve Compliance**
- **Tools/Programs**
  1. **WatchList**



## Sources Added to Watch List

Apr-04	Jan-05	Apr-05	Jan-06	Apr-06	Jan-07	Apr-07
53	27	22	17	16	6	3
4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	3	1	0	0	0
	4	2	2	2	1	1
	3	2	0	0	0	0
		5	2	2	2	2
			1	1	0	0
			2	1	1	1
			10	8	4	3
				7	0	0
					3	2
					1	0
					7	5
						6
57	37	34	35	37	25	23

## Cases on Watch List Per State

	Apr-04	Jan-05	Apr-05	Jan-06	Apr-06	Jan-07	Apr-07
State 1	35	26	24	23	25	20	13
State 2	7	4	2	4	4	0	2
State 3	8	0	0	0	2	0	1
State 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	57	37	34	35	37	25	23

# HPV Identification Rate

	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007(YTD)
State 1	27	31	33	50
State 2	59	43	47	20
State 3	0	2	4	2
State 4	0	0	0	0

# Outcomes and Results

1. Policy Implementation
2. Increased “smart” Enforcement
  - a. Enforcement Lead Changes
    - State → EPA
    - EPA → State
  - b. Identifying potential priority sectors
3. Better Coordination Between EPA/States